## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA SPARTANBURG DIVISION

Rhonda Rene Fisher,	) Case No. 7:24-cv-03402-DCC
Plaintiff,	) )
V.	ORDER
Alton Fisher, Merienoa Fisher, S. Alan Hill,	) ) )
Defendants.	) ) )

This matter is before the Court for review of Plaintiff's complaint. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On June 11, 2024, the Magistrate Judge directed Plaintiff to provide certain documents to bring this case into proper form for further evaluation. ECF No. 8. Plaintiff has not responded to the order. On July 12, 2024, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that this action be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute and comply with an order of the Court. ECF No. 11. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences for failing to do so. Plaintiff has not filed objections and the time to do so has lapsed.

## **APPLICABLE LAW AND ANALYSIS**

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." (citation omitted)).

## CONCLUSION

Upon consideration of the Report, the record, and the applicable law, the Court finds no clear error and adopts the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. This action is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).<sup>1</sup> IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Donald C. Coggins, Jr. United States District Judge

October 31, 2024 Spartanburg, South Carolina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis [3] is **FOUND as MOOT**.